

#### Bertrand Simon

#### part of a joint work with: Bender, Berry, Johnson, Kroeger, McCauley, Phillips, Singh, Zage

ENS Lyon

Jan. 2018

# Cache-efficient skip lists

Bertrand Simon

#### part of a joint work with: Bender, Berry, Johnson, Kroeger, McCauley, Phillips, Singh, Zage

ENS Lyon

Jan. 2018





2 External Memory

3 External-memory skip list

# The problem we want to solve

### Dictionary problem on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$

- Insert i
- Delete i
- Search i
- Range Query (i, k elements)

#### Example

Insert 26; Insert 8; Insert 4; Insert 17; Insert 42; Insert 1664; Delete 4; Search 26; Delete 26; Insert 58; Insert 2; Search 26;  $RQ(8,4) \rightarrow [8; 17; 42; 58];$ 

### Performance we seek (n elements in the set)

- Insert, Delete, Search:
- Range Query:

# The problem we want to solve

### Dictionary problem on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$

- Insert i
- Delete i
- Search i
- Range Query (i, k elements)

#### Example

Insert 26; Insert 8; Insert 4; Insert 17; Insert 42; Insert 1664; Delete 4; Search 26; Delete 26; Insert 58; Insert 2; Search 26;  $RQ(8,4) \rightarrow [8; 17; 42; 58];$ 

### Performance we seek (n elements in the set)

- lnsert, Delete, Search:  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
- Range Query:  $O(k + \log n)$

# The problem we want to solve

### Dictionary problem on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$

- Insert i
- Delete i
- Search i
- Range Query (i, k elements)

#### Example

Insert 26; Insert 8; Insert 4; Insert 17; Insert 42; Insert 1664; Delete 4; Search 26; Delete 26; Insert 58; Insert 2; Search 26;  $RQ(8,4) \rightarrow [8; 17; 42; 58];$ 

### Performance we seek (n elements in the set)

- lnsert, Delete, Search:  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$
- Range Query:  $\mathcal{O}(k + \log n)$

### Famous data structures solve this

Self-balancing binary search trees (AVL, Red-black tree...)

#### Red-black trees also solve this problem but...

- Red-Black tree invented in 1972 [Bayer] Improved in 1993, 1999, 2001, 2008, 2011
- Who can implement right now a red-black tree?

#### Red-black trees also solve this problem but...

- Red-Black tree invented in 1972 [Bayer]
   Improved in 1993, 1999, 2001, 2008, 2011
- Who can implement right now a red-black tree?

"Skip lists are simpler, faster and use less space" - W. Pugh, 1989.

### Red-black trees also solve this problem but...

- Red-Black tree invented in 1972 [Bayer]
   Improved in 1993, 1999, 2001, 2008, 2011
- Who can implement right now a red-black tree?

```
"Skip lists are simpler, faster and use less space"
- W. Pugh, 1989.
```

### Advantage: history independence

Reveals nothing on the past: deletes, searches, order of operations...

### Red-black trees also solve this problem but...

- Red-Black tree invented in 1972 [Bayer]
   Improved in 1993, 1999, 2001, 2008, 2011
- Who can implement right now a red-black tree?

```
"Skip lists are simpler, faster and use less space"
- W. Pugh, 1989.
```

### Advantage: history independence

Reveals nothing on the past: deletes, searches, order of operations...

#### More

- Easy concurrency
- fun, elegant, teaches probabilities...

# From a simple list to skip lists

#### Properties

- Maintain a sorted list of the elements
- Support operations in O (log n) in expectation and with high probability (≈ worst-case analysis)

# From a simple list to skip lists

#### Properties

- Maintain a sorted list of the elements
- Support operations in O (log n) in expectation and with high probability (≈ worst-case analysis)

### **Definition of** $O(\log n)$ with high probability

►  $\forall c \text{ large, with proba } 1 - n^{-\Omega(c)}$ , all operations cost  $< c \log n$ ► Ex: n = 1000,  $1 - 10^{-9}$   $< 3 \log n$ 

### From a simple list to skip lists

#### Properties

- Maintain a sorted list of the elements
- Support operations in O (log n) in expectation and with high probability (≈ worst-case analysis)

### **Definition of** $O(\log n)$ with high probability

∀c large, with proba 1 − n<sup>-Ω(c)</sup>, all operations cost < c log n</li>
 Ex: n = 1000, 1 − 10<sup>-9</sup> < 3 log n</li>

#### Description of ideal skip lists without updates

On the board



# Searching in lg *n* linked lists

# **EXAMPLE:** SEARCH(72)



Updating ideal skip lists: expensive

Now rely on probabilities...

Updating ideal skip lists: expensive

Now rely on probabilities...

#### **Delete** *i*

Search i, delete i from all lists

Updating ideal skip lists: expensive

Now rely on probabilities...

#### Delete i

Search i, delete i from all lists

#### Insert i

- Search i, insert i at the bottom list
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher

Updating ideal skip lists: expensive

Now rely on probabilities...

#### **Delete** *i*

Search i, delete i from all lists

#### Insert i

- Search i, insert i at the bottom list
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher

Updating ideal skip lists: expensive

Now rely on probabilities...

#### **Delete** *i*

Search i, delete i from all lists

#### Insert i

- Search i, insert i at the bottom list
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher ▶ ...

Updating ideal skip lists: expensive

Now rely on probabilities...

#### **Delete** *i*

Search i, delete i from all lists

#### Insert i

- Search i, insert i at the bottom list
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher
- ▶ Toss a coin: Head  $\rightarrow$  Return() Tail  $\rightarrow$  insert *i* one level higher ▶ ...

### Do you see something missing?

#### Theorem

A skip list has  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  levels whp.

### Proof.

 $\mathcal{P}(> c \log n \text{ levels}) \leq n \cdot \mathcal{P}(\text{Insert gets} > c \log n \text{ promotions})$ 

$$\leq n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{c \log n} \\ \leq n^{1-c}$$

#### Theorem

A search costs  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  whp.

#### Theorem

A search costs  $O(\log n)$  whp.

#### Proof.

Analyze it backwards (from bottom to top-left)

- ▶ if the node was promoted: go up (proba. 1/2)
- ▶ otherwise: go left (proba. 1/2)
- we stop after  $< c \log n$  "up" moves

Whp, after how many moves do we stop? Answer:

#### Theorem

A search costs  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  whp.

#### Lemma

To obtain  $c \log n$  Heads, we need  $\Theta(\log n)$  coin flips whp.

#### Proof.

Analyze it backwards (from bottom to top-left)

- ▶ if the node was promoted: go up (proba. 1/2)
- ▶ otherwise: go left (proba. 1/2)
- we stop after  $< c \log n$  "up" moves

Whp, after how many moves do we stop? Answer:

#### Theorem

A search costs  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  whp.

#### Lemma

To obtain  $c \log n$  Heads, we need  $\Theta(\log n)$  coin flips whp.

#### Proof.

Analyze it backwards (from bottom to top-left)

- ▶ if the node was promoted: go up (proba. 1/2)
- ▶ otherwise: go left (proba. 1/2)
- we stop after  $< c \log n$  "up" moves

Whp, after how many moves do we stop? Answer:  $\Theta(\log n)$ 





2 External Memory

3 External-memory skip list

# Forget everything you know

### Classic RAM model used to evaluate algorithm

- Memory access (read, write)
   Computation (compare, add, multiply...)

# Forget everything you know

### Classic RAM model used to evaluate algorithm

- Memory access (read, write)
- Memory access (read, write)
   Computation (compare, add, multiply...)

### Problem when dealing with large data

fig/memory.jpg

# A new model

### Change of view

- Classic complexity (RAM model): focus on computations
- Disk-Access Model [Aggarwal'88] : focus on communications

# A new model

### Change of view

- Classic complexity (RAM model): focus on computations
- Disk-Access Model [Aggarwal'88] : focus on communications

#### Model

- Two layers of memory: a main RAM of size *M* and an infinite disk
- Data needs to be on RAM to be processed
- Can exchange contiguous blocks of size B for 1 I/O



# A new model

### Change of view

- Classic complexity (RAM model): focus on computations
- Disk-Access Model [Aggarwal'88] : focus on communications

#### Model

- Two layers of memory: a main RAM of size *M* and an infinite disk
- Data needs to be on RAM to be processed
- Can exchange contiguous blocks of size B for 1 I/O
- Complexity of an algorithm: worst-case I/O number



# Why are I/Os so important?

Large data: classic algorithms access frequently to disk

#### Access time

- RAM: 100 ns
- Disk: 10 ms = 10 000 000 ns

# Why are I/Os so important?

Large data: classic algorithms access frequently to disk

#### Access time

 RAM: 100 ns
 Disk: 10 ms = 10 000 000 ns
 Analogy: Ram speed Disk speed ≈ escape velocity from Earth speed of a turtle

DAM model: totally forget computations

### **Classic bounds**

	RAM	DAM (I/Os)
Scan	N	
Search	log N	
Merge-Sort	N log N	

### **Classic bounds**

	RAM	DAM (I/Os)
Scan	N	$\frac{N}{B}$
Search	log N	
Merge-Sort	N log N	

#### **Classic bounds**

	RAM	DAM (I/Os)
Scan	N	$\frac{N}{B}$
Search	log N	log <sub>B</sub> N
Merge-Sort	N log N	

### External memory Search tree: B-tree



#### **Classic bounds**

	RAM	DAM (I/Os)
Scan	N	$\frac{N}{B}$
Search	log N	log <sub>B</sub> N
Merge-Sort	N log N	$\frac{N}{B}\log_{M/B}\frac{N}{B}$

### External memory Search tree: B-tree







2 External Memory



# Skip lists and external memory

### Why it does not work straight away

- RAM Insert: any memory slot
- Each operation requires Θ(log N) I/Os

# Skip lists and external memory

### Why it does not work straight away

- RAM Insert: any memory slot
- Each operation requires Θ(log N) I/Os
- ► We want the same as B-tree:  $O(\log_B N)$  I/Os — RQ:  $O(\log_B N + k/B)$  I/Os

### Any idea to improve locality? (& keep history-independence)

# Skip lists and external memory

### Why it does not work straight away

- RAM Insert: any memory slot
- Each operation requires Θ(log N) I/Os
- ► We want the same as B-tree:  $O(\log_B N)$  I/Os — RQ:  $O(\log_B N + k/B)$  I/Os

### Any idea to improve locality? (& keep history-independence)

- Block together elements between 2 promoted ones
- Change the promotion probability

If p > 1/B

Range queries are not efficient

### If p > 1/B

Range queries are not efficient

### If p < 1/B

Searches have to span several blocks

### If p > 1/B

Range queries are not efficient

### If p < 1/B

- Searches have to span several blocks
- If p = 1/B [Golovin'2010]
  - OK on average

### **If** p > 1/B

Range queries are not efficient

### If p < 1/B

Searches have to span several blocks

### If p = 1/B [Golovin'2010]

- OK on average
- Whp:  $\sqrt{N}$  series of  $B \log N$  non-promoted elements
- For  $> \sqrt{N}$  elements, a search costs  $\Omega(\log N)$  I/Os

# Towards our skip list

### **Promotion probability**

▶ 
$$\frac{\log B}{B} (ex:  $p = B^{-0.7}$ )  $\longrightarrow$  searches OK on average$$

▶ largest series: 
$$\langle B \log_B N \text{ whp } \longrightarrow O(\log_B N) | / Os \text{ for searches}$$

### **Blocking strategy**

- Block between doubly-promoted elements  $\longrightarrow$  Range Queries
- $\blacktriangleright$  Reserve buffers between promoted elements  $\longrightarrow~$  Updates

#### More

Some tricks to ensure all bounds whp & history independence

# Example of our skip list for B = 3 and p = 1/2

