



Developing an empirically based agentbased model to support local transitions

Symposium: Human-centred energy modelling Novel methodological pathways for environmental psychology



Philipp Eppe Aarhus 22.06.2023





Background and Research Questions

Background: \rightarrow Importance of sustainable technologies (e.g., hydrogen) \rightarrow Limited adoption of sustainable technologies

Research questions:

- →What are the most important factors for the adoption of sustainable technologies?
- ightarrow What is the joined impact of these factors?
- \rightarrow How to accelerate the adoption of sustainable technologies?





Can be Approached From Different Disciplines

	Environmental Psychology	Transition Studies
Insights:	Factors influencing individual sustainable technology adoption behaviour of e.g., electric vehicles or PV (micro level)	Diffusion of innovations on the system level (macro level)
Methods:	 Self-reports Experiments Field studies Etc. 	 System analysis Simulations (Agent-based modelling) Exploration of scenarios
Limitations:	 Low external validity Limited insights on what happens after the adoption behaviour 	 Assumption of the rational actor in simulations (homo economicus) Low psychological (or social) reliability





Psychological realism in agent-based models Philipp Eppe to support transitions 22.06.2023

Interdisciplinary Approach

→Integrating findings from the literature on sustainable technology adoption into an agent-based model

Aim:

- →Addressing the complexity of interrelated social and technical phenomena and heterogeneous social actors
- →Understanding sustainable technology adoption at the micro and macro level (emergent behaviour)



PRISMA Flow Diagram adapted from: Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71





Merging Variables for Better Comparability





Psychological realism in agent-based modelsPhilipp Eppeto support transitions22.06.2023

Key Findings

Psychological Factors

Factor	No. of Studies	No. of Part.	Mean r *	Std. Deviation
Attitude	58	30 436	0.532	0.140
Subjective Norm	60	26 916	0.497	0.151
Environ. Concern	35	15 585	0.426	0.136
Perceived Behavioural Control	35	14 768	0.525	0.152
Perceived Benefit	29	14 620	0.426	0.177
Tech. Knowledge	21	14 188	0.393	0.139
Perceived Cost	26	13 700	<u>-0.292</u>	0.190
Personal Norm	26	13 030	0.508	0.149
Perceived Ease of Use	23	10 946	0.355	0.140



Key Findings

Differences Between Regions and Technologies

	Regions								Technologies					
	Africa (n = 7)		Asia (n = 65)		Europe (n = 15)		North America (n = 5)		Alternative-Fuel Vehicles (n = 48)		Energy-Efficient Appliances (n = 23)		Renewable Energy Technologies (n = 21)	
Factor	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Attitude	0.557	0.166	0.522	0,134	0.543	0.143	0.510	0.00	0.579	0.160	0.494	0.100	0.527	0.150
Subjective Norm	0.542	0.147	0.509	0,146	0.464	0.142	0.430	0.173	0.552	0.157	0.467	0.141	0.480	0.141
Environ. Concern	0.444	0.115	0.401	0,142	0.518	0.048	/	/	0.432	0.147	0.378	0.057	0.454	0.150
Perceived Behavioural Control	0.524	0.149	0.536	0,156	0.282	0.00	0.470	0.00	0.437	0.121	0.561	0.132	0.567	0.176
Perceived Benefit	0.640	0.048	0.440	0,200	0.363	0.068	0.379	0.173	0.583	0.184	0.358	0.112	0.339	0.107
Tech. Knowledge	0.395	0.118	0.418	0,058	0.373	0.179	/	/	0.323	0.174	0.463	0.052	0.404	0.094
Perceived Cost	-0.351	0.025	-0.301	0,219	-0.272	0.163	/	/	-0.286	0.151	-0.120	0.121	-0.341	0.219
Personal Norm	0.510	0.065	0.525	0,174	0.473	0.083	/	/	0.486	0.169	0.536	0.111	0.582	0.045
Perceived Ease of Use	0.272	0.080	0.367	0,179	0.387	0.056	/	/	0.436	0.173	0.353	0.107	0.261	0.075



Psychological realism in agent-based models Philipp Eppe to support transitions 22.06.2023



Integration Into Agent-Based Models

Understanding emergent behaviour (from micro to macro)

- \rightarrow Interrelatedness between factors:
 - Positive attitudes might influence PBC
 - Strong personal norms might influence attitudes
 - Strong personal norms might influence PBC
- \rightarrow Formalisation:
 - Define attributes to each agent (empirically): Attitudes, PBC and personal norms (e.g., -1 to 1)
 - Define within-agents behaviours:
 - Attitude updates: Exposure to information or social interactions
 - PBC updates: Resource availability or supporting infrastructure
 - Personal Norm updates: Exposure to environmental degradation
- \rightarrow Model interactions:
 - Attitude diffusion through social networks (convergence or divergence?)
 - PBC contagion: Sharing of information or resources and observability of successful adoption
 - Normative influence: Agents with strong personal norms exert social pressure



Formalisation Challenges and Solutions

 \rightarrow Hurdles in formalising the theoretical framework:

- Translating a linear and static psychological theory into a dynamic and timebased simulation
- Determining the effect of technical, economic, environmental, political changes and interventions on the psychological factors
- \rightarrow Importance of validation and reliability:
 - Sensitivity analysis
 - Expert interviews
 - Checking for face validity and comparing to historical data





Psychological realism in agent-based models Philipp Eppe to support transitions 22.06.2023

Conclusion

- \rightarrow Context-specific differences in psychological factors \rightarrow need for tailored approaches
- → Complex interplay between psychological factors, social dynamics, and technical aspects → need for interdisciplinary collaboration and data-driven approaches
- → Bridging psychology and agent-based modeling enables the exploration of more realistic scenarios → exploration of large scale interventions to facilitate sustainable socio-technical transitions
- → Formalisation of psychological theories forces us to really think about commonly used theories and their underlying assumptions







Psychological realism in agent-based modelsPhilipp Eppeto support transitions22.06.2023



Thank you!

Feedback is more than welcome

Philipp Eppe Faculty of Resilient Energy Systems University of Bremen eppe@uni-bremen.de