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Theoretical Background
The main objective of practical training within teacher training programs is to develop the basic attitude of a “reflective practitioner” [1]. Instead of an unrestricted accumulation of experiences during practical training, the students shall rather pursue the scientific approach of a critical examination of individual experiences against a subject-related theoretical background (PKC) in a multi-perspective view [2]. To acquire reflection skills in subject-related practical training, profound pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) is necessary which is proven to correlate with the learner’s outcome [3]. Therefore, a reflection-stimulating pool of tasks with focus on biology didactical theories has been developed and tested in an accompanying seminar prior to the practical training. The tasks especially outline coping with different dimensions of heterogeneity in school, under consideration of consequences in planning biology lessons.

Concept of the Tasks
The tasks give the students an opportunity to foster PKC by applying it on short practical units with limited scope. All tasks comprise of four consistent parts which can vary in methodical or medial approach.

Phase I: Self-assessment of biology didactical knowledge to a certain topic
- Are the learning tasks being accepted by the students?
- Which content aspects are examined in their reflections and on which qualitative level?
- Are the students sensitized for different dimensions of heterogeneity in schools?

Analysis of the context
Phase II: Theoretical Input
- Please expound in regard to theoretical models or empirical findings:
  - which diagnostic tool would you apply in order to determine existing conceptions.
  - which conceptions are already described empirically to this topic.
  - how you would plan your biology lesson in regard to these findings.

Pilot Study
The tasks were piloted in the weekly seminar in the Department of Biology Education in the winter term 16/17 (n=55) with students of the 5th Bachelor and students of the 1st Master semester (n=34). After completing the seminar, the bachelor students started their practical training, which required four lessons performing and four lessons observation of biology classes.

Research question
- What are the learning tasks being accepted by the students?
- Which content aspects are examined in their reflections and on which qualitative level?
- Are the students sensitized for different dimensions of heterogeneity in schools?

Design of Research & Evaluation method
- Task-specific evaluation sheet assessing acceptance of the tasks (6 items; α=0.603 – 0.892; n=89), working with texts (5 items; α=0.683 – 0.846; n=75) and the difficulty of the tasks (n=89). Data evaluation by descriptive statistic analysis with IBM SPSS Statistics 24.
- a) Criteria-based content analysis of the written reflections (n=15) which were submitted in the internship report with regard to the model of reflection (according to [6, 7]).

Future Prospects
- Revision of the prompts to support all content aspects of the model of reflection, in particular considering PKC for analyzing and reflecting the performance.
- Outlining more different dimensions of heterogeneity in biology education not only focusing on the performance of the learners, for example by developing case examples focused on the students’ previous experiences.
- Conducting stimulated recall interviews for gathering more information about the impact of the tasks & prompts on their professional development in practical training.
- Continuity of reflective praxis through a joint dialogue between the students and lecturers from the subject didactics as well as lecturers from educational sciences.

Findings & Discussion
- Evaluation of the tasks
  - Positive ratings on average for the tasks and text work using a Likert-Scalar from 1 (completely negative) to 5 (absolutely positive)
  - Acceptable level of difficulty (scale 1=very easy ≤ 5=very difficult)
  - Concept requires only minor improvements
- The findings of the content analysis are listed below:
  - Alternative possibilities, considering perspectives and referring to professionalization are the main content aspects which were reflected
  - Qualitative level mainly identified as descriptive and justified writing (level II-III)
  - Didactic theories were only used occasionally to analyze the teaching (4/15)
  - Indicators for a positive relationship between the use of the prompts and the quality of the written reflection
  - Transforming PKC on their own experiences is still challenging
- Dimensions of heterogeneity in schools’reflected on:
  - 10/15 performance
  - 4/15 linguistic performance
  - 2/15 previous knowledge
  - 1/5 gender-specific interactions